

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/601,813	RAMACHANDRAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel A. Hess	2876	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Daniel A. Hess.

(3) _____.

 (2) Daniel Wasil.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 April 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-37

Prior art documents discussed:


Maier et al. (US 6,367,695)

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner noted that the Applicant misunderstood the prior art. The Examiner also noted that he may have been unclear by grouping 102 and 103 rejections together. Therefore, a supplemental final is being sent out which clarifies this.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)